

Importance of Virtual Platforms in Improving the Reproducibility of Data in Cancer Research

Akshit Vats¹, MSc;  Yashwant Kumar^{*}, MD, DNB 

¹Department of Immunopathology, Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education & Research, Chandigarh, India

ABSTRACT

Virtual platforms have revolutionized distance education, making it accessible worldwide and empowering scientists, academicians, and researchers to access knowledge effortlessly. These platforms provide flexibility, allowing the users to tailor their learning experience to their needs and integrating knowledge into their work. Reproducibility is crucial in cancer research, and researchers integrate data analysis into virtual or electronic learning (e-Learning) platforms to facilitate replication and verification, promoting transparency and reliability. This integration enhances accessibility and enables collaboration among scientists and stakeholders in the fight against cancer. Virtual learning offers written and audio-visual communication benefits facilitated by electronic and web-enabling advancements. In the dynamic virtual realm, researchers transcend limitations, exchange knowledge, and push the boundaries of cancer research. Virtual platforms provide time efficiency and financial freedom, while advanced tools support data analysis and facilitate new insights. These tools unlock hidden patterns and accelerate the pace of discovery. The digital ecosystem generates new ideas, improves research methodology, and enhances research quality. Limitless collaboration and advanced tools propel cancer research, unravelling complex data with precision and innovation. The potential of cyberspace to revolutionize scientific research in the future, therefore, is promising.

Keywords: Virtual platforms, Cyberspace, Research data, Reproducibility, Cancer research

**Corresponding author:*
Yashwant Kumar, MD, DNB;
Department of
Immunopathology, Post
Graduate Institute of Medical
Education & Research,
Chandigarh, India
Email: dryashwant@ymail.com

Please cite this paper as:
Vats A, Kumar Y.
Importance of Virtual
Platforms in Improving the
Reproducibility of Data in
Cancer Research. Interdiscip
J Virtual Learn Med Sci.
2023;14(3):244-249.doi:10.30476/
IJVLM.2023.97973.1210.

Received: 02-17-2023
Revised: 03-03-2023
Accepted: 03-16-2023

Introduction

“You can’t teach people everything they need to know. The best you can do is position them where they can find what they need to know when they need to know it.”

Seymour Papert

Cancer is a complex and widespread disease characterized by the uncontrolled

growth and division of abnormal cells. It can affect various body organs and tissues, leading to tumours forming or infiltrating cancer cells into surrounding tissues. Each year, approximately 10 million lives are lost to this disease, as reported by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) (1). Being a leading cause of death worldwide, the burden of cancer on individuals, families, and societies is significant. The weight of this burden highlights the urgent need to advance

our knowledge through scientific inquiry to develop effective strategies for prevention, early detection, and treatment.

Researchers continually expand their knowledge through scientific inquiry and develop innovative methods, procedures, and techniques. This ongoing quest for understanding fuels progress and enables others to build upon existing findings. By fostering efficient scientific processes, this collaborative approach deepens our comprehension of humanity and the universe and ultimately aids in substantial advancements across various domains. In the cancer context, scientific research is a powerful tool in the ongoing fight against this devastating disease.

In recent decades, significant progress has been made in cancer research, encompassing pathogenesis, diagnostics, and treatments, all aiming at improving patient outcomes. Technological advancements have opened new avenues of investigation, such as genomics, proteomics, targeted therapy, and artificial intelligence. However, the abundance of data generated poses challenges in verification and validation. Nonetheless, scientists are actively addressing this challenge to harness the potential of these breakthroughs and advance cancer research.

Unlocking Opportunities in the Digital Realm

Scientific journals are essential platforms for sharing discoveries, facilitating education, and advancing knowledge. With the evolution of the Internet, accessing these journals has become more convenient, eliminating the previous cumbersome processes. The availability of cancer data holds immense value, enabling researchers to comprehend the impact of cancer on populations, identify risk factors, and develop effective prevention strategies. This knowledge is critical for recognizing patterns and trends, ultimately contributing to improved cancer care. The Internet has revolutionized research and learning, becoming an indispensable tool for professionals and researchers.

It provides a wealth of virtual sources and platforms that allow for in-depth analysis and understanding of various subjects at one's own pace. Researchers heavily rely on the Internet for their investigations, making it an indispensable component of their work. With its vast resources and accessibility, the Internet continues to enhance and accelerate scientific advancements in the fight against cancer and numerous other fields of study (2).

Scientific journals, while a vast source of knowledge, also pose challenges. The data presented in published studies may not always be easily reproducible or transparent, leading to concerns about the reliability of certain findings. It calls for a greater emphasis on reproducibility, transparency, and scientific evidence to ensure research integrity. By exploring different definitions of effectiveness and embracing the concept of virtual reality, learning professionals can align their expectations and focus their efforts on what truly matters. This reflection and inspiration can guide the utilization of virtual platforms, empowering professionals to enhance their learning experiences and achieve meaningful outcomes.

Virtual platforms and cyberspace offer numerous advantages in the realm of cancer-related activities. They serve as efficient data collection, analysis, and storage tools, contributing to a deeper understanding of cancer incidence, prevalence, and risk factors. Virtual platforms also facilitate the dissemination of valuable information on cancer prevention, detection, and treatment through online resources and support communities, reaching a broad audience. They provide a vital space for individuals impacted by cancer to connect, share experiences, and access support resources.

A significant advantage of virtual platforms is their support for telemedicine (3). Patients can remotely consult with healthcare professionals, receive medical advice, and undergo specific diagnostic procedures without physical visits. This accessibility and convenience improve patient care and overcome geographical or mobility barriers.

Telemedicine in oncology broadens access to quality healthcare services, revolutionizing patient care. Virtual tumour boards are another valuable tool, fostering collaboration among multidisciplinary teams. By leveraging the expertise of various specialists, these platforms facilitate collective treatment decision-making, leading to improved patient outcomes and enhanced quality of care. The advent of virtual media has transformed cancer care, offering remote consultations, reducing travel burdens, and promoting multidisciplinary collaboration for better treatment outcomes.

Reproducibility in research relies on replicating data, methods, and codes to validate previous findings or generate new datasets. Transparency is a valuable attribute, but its definition could be more precise, striking a balance between providing individuals with the necessary information to evaluate scientific claims and study design (4-6). Furthermore, virtual platforms facilitate the opportunity to engage in constructive and open conversations, promoting mutual understanding and sharing materials and data, leading to increased research productivity. A recent study by Errington et al. focused on examining the reproducibility of numerous cancer studies. Surprisingly, they could only replicate 27% of the 195 experiments conducted in these studies. The authors attributed this low replication rate to inadequate methodological details, insufficient use of appropriate statistics, and the necessity for protocol modifications (7).

Navigating the Obstacles

Reproducibility

Ensuring the reproducibility of scientific discoveries has become a significant challenge in recent times. Many studies may suffer from issues such as false positives or inadequate sample sizes and statistical power, making it difficult to determine the reliability of their findings. The lack of uniform scientific practices across disciplines further hampers replicability. To address these challenges, online assessments, practice assignments,

and simulated procedures can provide uninterrupted learning experiences and foster a more consistent approach to research and knowledge acquisition.

Data Availability

Online platforms empower researchers with essential data management, documentation, and analysis training, ensuring accurate and reproducible results. They provide access to the latest advancements in data curation and analysis techniques, informing researchers about cutting-edge methods. Comprehensive data availability aids early cancer detection, risk identification, and targeted screening. Analysing large datasets yields insights into molecular markers, genetic mutations, and tumour characteristics, advancing cancer understanding. These findings support personalized and precision medicine, improving treatments and patient outcomes. Online platforms enable real-time research monitoring and remote meeting participation and foster collaboration, enhancing research efficiency within the scientific community (8).

Publication Terms and Conditions

A scientific workflow encompasses various stages, including idea generation, literature review, study design, data collection, analysis, and reporting. With the advent of online resources, researchers have access to vast amounts of information. They can utilize statistical and cyber domain modules like bioinformatics to effectively organize and analyse biological data. However, the reproducibility of research findings faces challenges related to workflow decisions and result documentation. Journals often limit the amount of information that can be included, potentially leaving out crucial details. Additionally, there is a publication bias towards positive findings, leading to the potential neglect of negative studies. To address these limitations, it becomes essential to have comprehensive information about the study question, methods, and analysis throughout the research process, allowing for

better transparency and reproducibility (9).

Rigorousness

Virtual learning enables direct communication with authors, fostering an understanding of challenges in original studies and improving protocols for better repeatability. Collaboration is vital, but reproducibility suffers from unsound procedures and results in misinterpretation. To improve scientific reproducibility, researchers should focus on rigor. Rigor encompasses adherence to rigorous experimental design, robust data collection and analysis, and meticulous documentation of methods and procedures. By upholding these principles, researchers can minimize bias, increase the transparency of their work, and facilitate the replication of their findings by other scientists. Rigorous research practices not only contribute to the advancement of scientific knowledge but also promote trust and confidence in the scientific community. Therefore, it is essential for researchers to continually emphasize and prioritize rigor as a fundamental aspect of their work, ultimately enhancing the reproducibility and integrity of scientific research.

Preregistration

Online platforms now allow preregistration of study plans, promoting transparency and accountability. Preregistration supports study design, randomization, outcome selection, and peer review before publication. It counters selective reporting and publication biases, enhancing reproducibility. By preregistration, researchers can avoid data-driven interpretations and ensure a more robust and reliable scientific narrative. This approach has proven successful in clinical studies, leading to increased reporting of null results and reducing biases in the literature. Implementing preregistration for all studies before journal publication can serve as a solution to decrease biases and improve the quality and transparency of research findings (10, 11).

Outcome Reporting Bias

Outcome reporting bias continues to be a prevalent issue, with a significant percentage of trials experiencing changes, introductions, or omissions of primary outcomes and a substantial number of pre-specified effects going unreported (12, 13). The solution to this problem lies in the universal registration of all trials, complete reporting of results, and formulation of clear rules and standards for experimental procedures, data collection, and analysis methodologies. By implementing such measures, we can ensure transparency, integrity, and reliability in research, ultimately enhancing the trustworthiness and impact of scientific findings.

Scientific Transparency

Challenges such as scrutiny, methodological issues, and publishing pressures jeopardize the credibility of our scientific landscape. However, embracing emerging technologies can foster progress and enhance our understanding. As advocates for research funding, we must support organizations that promote transparent and open science. We cannot afford to waste time on research concealed by financial and non-transparent barriers. Scientific transparency fosters inclusivity and comprehension. Nevertheless, political and business interests must address obstacles to scientific progress, such as high costs and limited openness.

The Digital Frontier: Exploring the Impact and Influence of Cyberspace

Cyberspace has revolutionized the scientific landscape, offering digital resources that eliminate the need for physical copies of publications. Open-access publishing has emerged as a powerful catalyst for scientific openness, enabling researchers to readily share their findings while preserving the confidentiality of sensitive or proprietary information. Embracing virtual platforms and open science practices empowers researchers to bolster the efficiency and credibility of their scientific pursuits in the relentless battle against cancer. Moreover, these platforms

empower cancer advocacy organizations and patient groups to raise awareness, advocate for policy changes, and effectively allocate resources. Through online campaigns and social media, they can reach a broader audience, engage policymakers, and mobilize support for improved cancer services. Integrating virtual platforms, open-access publishing, and collaborative efforts paves the way for a more transparent and impactful scientific community, ultimately benefiting patients and advancing our understanding of cancer (14).

In the field of education, virtual platforms enhance the learning process and have the potential to transform teaching and learning methods. They can increase lifelong learning opportunities, improve instruction, and streamline administrative tasks. Online learning supports individualized learning, helps students reach their full potential, and fosters a flexible and adaptable education workforce (15). To ensure reproducibility and quality in research, there is a need for rigorous peer review processes, easy access to protocols, and recognition of excellence and accuracy in scientific work. Creating a culture that values reproducibility and rewards integrity will contribute to a more reliable scientific community.

Overall, the future of virtual platforms holds tremendous potential. Integrating emerging technologies and the constant evolution of virtual platforms will shape a future where remote communication, accessibility, sharing, collaboration, and virtual teams become the norm in cancer or other scientific research.

Acknowledgment

Not applicable

Authors' Contribution

AV wrote the initial draft, YK conceived the idea, executed the study, and drafted and revised the manuscript. Both authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest: None declared.

Funding

Not applicable

References

- 1 Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, Parkin DM, Piñeros M, Znaor A, Bray F. Cancer statistics for the year 2020: An overview. *Int J Cancer*. 2021 Apr 5. doi: 10.1002/ijc.33588. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 33818764.
- 2 Nature research custom. Six factors affecting reproducibility in life science research and how to handle them. *Advertorial* 26.03.2019. <https://www.nature.com/articles/d42473-019-00004-y>.
- 3 Reynolds LM, Cavadino A, Chin S, Little Z, Akroyd A, Tennant G, Dobson R, Broom R, Gautier A. The benefits and acceptability of virtual reality interventions for women with metastatic breast cancer in their homes; a pilot randomised trial. *BMC Cancer*. 2022 Apr 2;22(1):360. doi: 10.1186/s12885-021-09081-z. PMID: 35366823; PMCID: PMC8976512.
- 4 Fanelli D. "Positive" results increase down the Hierarchy of the Sciences. *PLoS One*. 2010 Apr 7;5(4):e10068. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0010068. PMID: 20383332; PMCID: PMC2850928.
- 5 Ioannidis JP. Why most published research findings are false. *PLoS Med*. 2005 Aug;2(8):e124. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0020124. Epub 2005 Aug 30. Erratum in: *PLoS Med*. 2022 Aug 25;19(8):e1004085. PMID: 16060722; PMCID: PMC1182327.
- 6 T. D. Sterling, W. L. Rosenbaum & J. J. Weinkam. Publication Decisions Revisited: The Effect of the Outcome of Statistical Tests on the Decision to Publish and Vice Versa. *The American Statistician*. 1995; 49:1, 108-112, DOI: 10.1080/00031305.1995.10476125
- 7 Errington TM, Denis A, Perfito N, Iorns E, Nosek BA. Challenges for assessing replicability in preclinical cancer biology. *Elife*. 2021 Dec 7;10:e67995. doi: 10.7554/eLife.67995. PMID: 34874008; PMCID:

- PMC8651289.
- 8 Vaona A, Banzi R, Kwag KH, Rigon G, Cereda D, Pecoraro V, Tramacere I, Moja L. E-learning for health professionals. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018 Jan 21;1(1):CD011736. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD011736.pub2. PMID: 29355907; PMCID: PMC6491176.
 - 9 Ioannidis JP. How to make more published research true. *PLoS Med*. 2014 Oct 21;11(10):e1001747. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001747. PMID: 25334033; PMCID: PMC4204808.
 - 10 Quintana DS. From preregistration to publication: a non-technical primer for conducting a meta-analysis to synthesize correlational data. *Front Psychol*. 2015 Oct 8;6:1549. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01549. PMID: 26500598; PMCID: PMC4597034.
 - 11 Kaplan RM, Irvin VL. Likelihood of Null Effects of Large NHLBI Clinical Trials Has Increased Over Time. *PLoS One*. 2015 Aug 5;10(8):e0132382. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0132382. PMID: 26244868; PMCID: PMC4526697.
 - 12 Macleod MR, Michie S, Roberts I, Dirnagl U, Chalmers I, Ioannidis JP, Al-Shahi Salman R, Chan AW, Glasziou P. Biomedical research: increasing value, reducing waste. *Lancet*. 2014 Jan 11;383(9912):101-4. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62329-6. Epub 2014 Jan 8. PMID: 24411643.
 - 13 Chan AW, Hróbjartsson A, Haahr MT, Gøtzsche PC, Altman DG. Empirical evidence for selective reporting of outcomes in randomized trials: comparison of protocols to published articles. *JAMA*. 2004 May 26;291(20):2457-65. doi: 10.1001/jama.291.20.2457. PMID: 15161896.
 - 14 Krzyszczyk P, Acevedo A, Davidoff EJ, Timmins LM, Marrero-Berrios I, Patel M, White C, Lowe C, Sherba JJ, Hartmanshenn C, O'Neill KM, Balter ML, Fritz ZR, Androulakis IP, Schloss RS, Yarmush ML. The growing role of precision and personalized medicine for cancer treatment. *Technology (Singap World Sci)*. 2018 Sep-Dec;6(3-4):79-100. doi: 10.1142/S2339547818300020. Epub 2019 Jan 11. PMID: 30713991; PMCID: PMC6352312.
 - 15 Brika SKM, Chergui K, Algamdi A, Musa AA, Zouaghi R. E-Learning Research Trends in Higher Education in Light of COVID-19: A Bibliometric Analysis. *Front Psychol*. 2022 Mar 3;12:762819. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.762819. PMID: 35308075; PMCID: PMC8929398.