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Abstract

The aim of the present study was to compare the effects of E-learning and workshop teaching methods on midwives’ knowledge about caring for pregnant women and attitude towards E-learning in Kerman.

Methodology

A total of 66 midwives were randomly divided into two groups, E-learning (33) and workshop (33). The data was analyzed using SPSS 18 software.

Results

There was a significant difference in knowledge and attitude between the two groups (P<0.04).

Conclusion

Both methods are effective, but E-learning can be more convenient for midwives.
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Abstract

Introduction: Obstetricians and health personnel are the first and foremost personnel with direct patient contact. E-learning can be considered an appropriate method for the in-service training of the health personnel. Therefore, the present study was conducted aiming at an investigation on the efficacy of the two training methods of workshop and e-learning training on the level of knowledge of the obstetricians as well as their attitudes towards e-Learning.

Methods: This was a semi empirical study in which 66 obstetricians, working at health centers. The participants were randomly divided into two groups of workshop (33 subjects) and e-Learning training (33 subjects). Using knowledge and attitude questionnaire, the knowledge and attitude of the subjects in the two groups were measured and data was analyzed through paired and independent T-test employing SPSS version 18.

Results: No difference was observed between the knowledge pre-test scores of the two groups; and the post-test scores of the two groups showed an identical and significant increase. Furthermore, the difference between scores of attitude towards e-learning, before and after training (34.24 ± 4.86 and 36.76 ± 6.36, respectively) was statistically significant (p=0.04).

Conclusion: The results showed that both training methods increased the personnel knowledge level equally and that the attitudes of participants of the e-learning training group were more positive towards e-learning subsequent to the training. As a result, e-learning training method (especially in the form of training CDs) can be employed as a complement to workshop training and a modern method to be adopted for employee in-service training.
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Introduction
Effective learning is a result of proper training in appropriate environment using creative methods. Continuous improvement of teaching and learning methods has accelerated education, opening new horizons to the science (1). Teaching people is the most important duty of managers. Thus, one of the most important responsibilities of managers is helping personnel in improving professional abilities. In order to update the information, considering drastic changes in health care services, development and update of the educational system seems inevitable (2). Moreover, using computers is an essential part of today’s human life in all societies. Education is also affected by this technology. One of the advantages of computer tools, like CDs, is providing educational services with appropriate cost. Also, this tool provides cost-effective and easy saving and transferring of information (3).

Investigations show that healthcare staff highly tends to participate in in-service training. However, many factors seriously challenge the access of these trainings, such as family problems, work fatigue, lack of motivation and lack of alternative staff (4). Self-learning methods enables learners to learn without having to leave their workplace on their own schedule and their preferred place. Self-learning, as an education method, includes individual activities in which the person learns without participating in conferences, seminars, and scheduled programs, on one’s own interests and needs to improve knowledge and practical skills (5).
Fifth and seventh principles of the continuous medical education focus on “improvement of healthcare services as a priority of continuous education” and “applying proper educational methods”, respectively. Participant’s interests and needs, as one of the six important components of planning retraining, must be considered (6). One study showed that success in e-learning program, to a high extent, relies on the learner’s fulfillment. This means that learners who are satisfied with the e-learning program are most likely to benefit the program (7).

A study was conducted by Hee sung et al. titled as “Combined training of drug management for new nurses; a combination of e-learning and face-to-face training in classroom” (8). The results indicated that training of drug management by e-learning, increases knowledge of drug management compared to classroom traditional training. E-learning greatly reduces lecture time and costs for repeating training programs. Although the initial costs of establishing e-learning programs are high, the method is cost-effective in the long run and it can be used in nurses’ resting time. Thus, e-learning can be practically used in nurses’ workplace (8). A study was done by Zolfaghari et al. titled as “Effect of e-learning and lecture methods on learning mother and child health course for nursing students”. In this semi-experimental study, all 32 students in their 3rd semester participated. During the first four weeks, classroom lecture method was applied. During the second four weeks, students continued the course by e-learning method. At the end, students’ opinions were assessed using questionnaire. Results of this study showed that there is not a significant relationship between learning using the two methods. However, in the e-learning method, “independence” and “usability of method” was significantly higher. At the end, researchers concluded that lecture and e-learning methods have similar educational effects in mother and child health course for nursing students (9).

Given that nursery and healthcare personnel are in the first line of contact with people referring to healthcare service centers and play a major role in potentially under risk groups of the society, efforts must be made to improve their knowledge in order to reach positive results (5). Thus, considering lack of research regarding comparing e-learning with workshop training of the nursery personnel /and their views on workshop learning and also the importance of caring pregnant women in their pregnancy and reducing maternal mortality as a health development index, this study is aimed on comparing the effectiveness of e-learning and workshop training methods in caring pregnant women and obstetricians’ personnel of Kerman healthcare centers views on e-learning methods.

**Methods**

This is a quantitative, analytical, interventional research. After filling sociological questionnaire gaining their consent, 71 obstetricians employed in Kerman healthcare centers participated in the study. Using random allocation (tossing, first in workshop training list and second in e-learning method), 35 were included in the workshop method and 36 in the e-learning method. Inclusion criteria was having a bachelor or associate degree of midwifery, having the responsibility of caring pregnant women in Kerman health clinics, and not having participated in retraining for diseases of kidney and urinary tract.

The knowledge questionnaire was the similar for the two groups prior to and after training and consisted of 24 multiple-choice questions. Validity of the questions was done by Lawsh and Mesik method. The questions were about educational objectives; content relationship and content coverage were determined. For scoring the
questionnaire, score one was assigned to true answers and score zero was assigned to false or undefined answers. Maximums score was 24 and minimum was zero. To assess stability, the questionnaire was presented to 20 midwifery personnel of Afzalipour hospital and Chronbach’s alpha was 0.85. The attitude questionnaire contained 15 three-choice questions: I agree, I disagree, no idea scored 1, 2, 3, respectively. Minimum attitude score was 15 and maximum was 45. Validity of the contents of the attitude questionnaire was confirmed by members of faculty. To assess stability, attitude questionnaire was filled by 20 midwives in Afzalipour hospital with Alpha of 0.97.

To provide content of the research, a handout in Microsoft Word format and slides in Microsoft PowerPoint format were prepared covering topics on kidney and urinary tract diseases related to pregnancy. In workshop method, the topics were presented by an instructor. In e-learning method, the content was presented on a CD (in 2003 and 2007 formats for ease of use). A contact phone number was given to the subjects to contact in case of any problems using the CD.

Sociological questionnaire was filled in one session by all subjects. In this session, information regarding e-learning and the objectives of the study was presented to subjects and their questions were answered. The draw was done afterwards to determine members of each group. Also, it was stated that filling the questionnaire indicated their consent on participating the study and each subject can exit during the study. A two-day workshop was held for workshop group with 15 days interval between the two sessions. In the first session, information regarding e-learning method was presented to subjects and pre-test knowledge and attitude questionnaires were filled by participants. Training materials in CD was presented afterwards. Subjects participated the second session 15 days later. In this session, knowledge questionnaire (post-test) and attitude questionnaire were filled after the training. Ethical considerations were done in this study; the research was conducted by presenting an introduction letter from educational deputy of the center for research and development of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences to relevant authorities and gaining permission for e-learning trainings and holding workshops in health center of Kerman, optional participating in the research, ensuring participants about the confidentiality of their information, non-obligatory use of first and last name and using code to compare before and after states of the two groups, appreciating the participants, and permitting participants to exit during study. SPSS version 18 was used to analyze data. Quantitative variables were presented by mean and standard deviation, and qualitative variables as frequency and percent. Statistical tests such as independent t-test to compare mean between the two groups and paired t-test to compare mean in each group. Descriptive statistics including frequency, frequency percentage, mean, and standard deviation. (P<0.05, was considered as significance level).

Results

71 people participated study, 36 in e-learning group and 35 in workshop group (2 people from the workshop and 3 people from the e-learning method were excluded due to personal reasons). Results showed that participants of the groups were similar regarding sociological characteristics. No significant difference affecting the results was found (table 1). Thus, it can be said that the results are most likely due to intervention.
Regarding personal characteristics, results showed that participants mean age was 37.20 ± 5.45 and job experience of 11.8 ± 5.94, 74.2 percent of them were married and 75.8 percent had bachelor degree. Regarding using computer, most participant had low to moderate knowledge. Regarding interest to use e-learning, 84.8 percent of participants had moderate to high interest and all participants had no history of participating in e-learning programs. Paired t-test was used to assess the impact of workshop method on knowledge of participants. Since there was a significant difference in mean and standard deviation of scores pre and post-test (14.12 ± 2.51 and 2.88 ± 2.88 and P<0.05), it was concluded that e-learning affected participants’ knowledge. Knowledge before and after training was compared between workshop and e-learning methods. Mean and standard deviation of pre-test scores (workshop group 15.06 ± 3.4 and e-learning group 14.12 ± 2.51) and post-test scores (workshop group 20.21 ± 2.74 and e-learning group 20.88 ± 2.88) were very close. P-values before and after intervention were 0.21 and 0.34, respectively and no significant difference was found in mean knowledge before and after intervention between the two groups (p<0.001). Thus, the two methods had positive effects in increasing participants’ knowledge (table 2).

### Table 1: Frequency of demographic variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variable</th>
<th>Workshop</th>
<th>Electronic</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>single</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technician</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bs</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>informal</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowing Computer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A little</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interesting to electronic education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>middle</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45.45</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>42.42</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of participation in educational program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: comparing of Knowledge before and after education in each group workshop and electronic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variable</th>
<th>Workshop</th>
<th>Electronic</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before Intervention</td>
<td>15.06±4.3</td>
<td>13.16,85.26</td>
<td>14.12±2.51</td>
<td>13.15,23.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Intervention</td>
<td>20.21±2.74</td>
<td>19.21,24.18</td>
<td>20.88±2.88</td>
<td>19.21,85.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean score of attitude in e-learning before training (34.24±4.86) and after training (36.76±6.36) was compared using paired t-test and P-value (0.04) was lower than significance level (P<0.05). Thus, e-learning affected the attitude of participants (table 3).

Table 3: Comparing the effect of electronic method on participants’ attitude before and after education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>X±SD Before</th>
<th>X±SD After</th>
<th>Interval Confidence Before</th>
<th>Interval Confidence After</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>34.24±4.86</td>
<td>36.76±6.36</td>
<td>32.35,51.96</td>
<td>34.39,50.01</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regarding other personal characteristics, no difference was found. Moreover, no relation was found between other personal characteristics with main variables.

Conclusion

This study compared the impact of workshop and e-learning methods on knowledge and attitude of participants of the study. The results showed that both workshop and e-learning methods have impacts on increasing knowledge of participants and this impact was relatively similar. Regarding the impact of e-learning on attitude of participants after training, the results showed that e-learning had positive impacts on participants’ attitude.

According to the results, knowledge was similar in the two groups. Findings of current study are in line with Francescato, FattahiBaghi, Hugenholtz, Bahri, Pishgouyi, Omrani, Dadgostar, and ZarifSanee et al. studies (10-17). In these studies, either workshop or e-learning methods were compared with other methods such as lecturing, face to face (classroom), self-learning manual, problem solving, cooperative learning. The reason that their results are in line with the current study is that they stated that e-learning increased knowledge of participants even more than other training methods.

Results of current study showed that attitude of participants increased after intervention by the e-learning method. The reason of low scores before intervention can be due to lack of computer using skills in participants of current study and no history of participating in e-learning programs. Increase in attitude can be due to experiencing this method after intervention, decreasing stress of participants after facing the method, or providing training materials in CD. This enabled every user with low computer using skills to use the materials. Also, some features made this usable for adults such as: time and place flexibility, learning pace, learner’s independence, and self-direction. Findings of the current study in this regard are in line with findings of Meyer, Al-Doub, LatifNejad Roudsari et al. (18-20). Subjects had no history of participating in similar trainings; also, low hardware equipment and appropriate environment for students and unfamiliarity of students made mentioned studies to be in line with the current study. In Borhani et al. study, participants had no history of participating in e-learning. After training and experiencing this method, their attitude to this method was more positive. The reason for this positive attitude after training using CD was providing brief and useful information relevant to target group, time and place (21).

According to the results of current study which indicates positive impact of using this training method (CD) on increasing knowledge and attitude of participants after intervention, it can be concluded that e-learning method by CD can be used as a complementary or even an alternative method to replace traditional methods. In order
to better conduct e-learning methods, personnel must be encouraged and well trained to use state-of-the-art technologies. Material used in this e-learning method was CD (text and slide). Thus, future studies on other electronic training methods and also in other fields of medical sciences are recommended to obtain more precise results. Limitations of this study were using CD for e-learning method and low number of participants.
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