Interdisciplinary Journal of Virtual Learning in Medical Sciences

Perspective

Beyond the Hype: A Perspective on Establishing Pedagogical Governance for Sustainable Integration of Immersive Technologies in Clinical Education

Zahra Karimian[1], Morteza Rezaei-Zadeh[2]*

[1]Department of E-learning in Medical Sciences, Virtual School and Centre of Excellence in E-learning, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
[2]Discipline of Medical Education, School of Medicine, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland

ABSTRACT

Immersive technologies, specifically Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Mixed Reality (MR), are actively redefining contemporary clinical education by creating safe, engaging, and repeatable environments that effectively connect theoretical knowledge with essential practical application. These tools allow learners to rehearse complex clinical skills, navigate three-dimensional anatomical structures, and participate in simulated emergency or surgical scenarios without endangering patient safety. Evidence consistently highlights improvements in knowledge retention, procedural competence, learner confidence, and motivation, positioning immersive modalities as valuable complements to traditional teaching methods. Yet, despite this demonstrated promise, sustainable integration across healthcare institutions faces significant structural and pedagogical obstacles. Key barriers include high equipment costs, infrastructure requirements, limited faculty readiness, learner accessibility concerns such as cybersickness, and scalability issues. Crucially, the current absence of robust, long-term evidence directly linking immersive training gains to measurable improvements in patient outcomes and real-world effectiveness raises important questions about widespread investment and sustainability. This perspective argues that immersive technologies should be viewed not merely as isolated novelties, but rather as catalysts requiring rigorous pedagogical governance. By critically examining current evidence and structural barriers, and by proposing strategic educational roadmaps, this article calls for an approach that carefully balances digital innovation with the humanistic and ethical dimensions of clinical training, ensuring that technological progress enhances, rather than replaces, the core values of healthcare education.

Keywords: Virtual Reality, Augmented Reality, Clinical Education, Computer Simulation, Competency-Based Education

*Corresponding author: Morteza Rezaei-Zadeh, School of Medicine, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland.
Email: rezaeizm@tcd.ie

Please cite this paper as: Karimian Z, Rezaei-Zadeh M. Beyond the Hype: A Perspective on Establishing Pedagogical Governance for Sustainable Integration of Immersive Technologies in Clinical Education. Interdiscip J Virtual Learn Med Sci. 2026;17(1):123-130.doi:10.30476/ijvlms.2026.109858.1363.

Received: 28-12-2025
Revised: 14-02-2026
Accepted: 25-02-2026

Introduction

In the period following the COVID-19 pandemic, medical sciences institutions have witnessed a rapid surge in the adoption of immersive technologies. Despite this growth, the acquisition of hardware has far exceeded the generation of strong empirical evidence demonstrating their pedagogical effectiveness.

Clinical education has historically relied upon centuries-old pedagogical models, such as bedside apprenticeship, cadaver dissection, and the traditional "see one, do one, teach one" philosophy (1). However, rapid advancements in digital technology are now driving a fundamental revolution in how healthcare professionals acquire and apply practical skills (2). This transformation was significantly accelerated by the COVID-19 Pandemic, which catalysed the rapid and often uncritical uptake of simulation-based and technology-enhanced blended learning approaches.

Among these innovations, immersive technologies, including Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), Mixed Reality (MR), and complementary interactive multimedia, have emerged as transformative tools (3). These systems possess the potential to bridge the long-standing gap between theoretical knowledge and practical clinical application. By simulating real-world scenarios, VR and AR offer learners safe, repeatable environments to rehearse complex skills and interact dynamically with three-dimensional anatomical models and surgical procedures (4, 5).

A growing body of evidence, including systematic reviews, confirms that immersive tools can function as effective educational instruments, demonstrating improvements in knowledge retention, procedural competence, learner confidence, and motivation (6, 7). For instance, VR-based surgical simulations accelerate skill acquisition, and nursing students have reported increased preparedness for real-life patient encounters (4, 5). Interactive multimedia platforms further reinforce theoretical knowledge through mechanisms such as gamified assessments and adaptive quizzes (8), supporting progress toward Competency-Based Medical Education (CBME).

Furthermore, immersive technologies offer important opportunities for global health equity, providing scalable alternative solutions for low- and middle-income countries where access to specialized equipment or clinical placements is limited (9). Despite this rapid diffusion and promising evidence base, widespread and sustainable implementation remains constrained by high costs, infrastructure demands, limited faculty readiness (10), and unresolved ethical and pedagogical governance challenges.

Opportunities

Immersive technologies provide recognized advantages across cognitive, psychomotor, and affective domains of clinical education. Rather than introducing novel instructional concepts, their primary educational value lies in their capacity to support deliberate practice, competency development, and the integration of theoretical knowledge into clinical performance when appropriately embedded within curricula.

One well-established opportunity is the provision of safe and repeatable training environments, enabling learners to practice complex and high-risk clinical scenarios, such as emergency responses and advanced surgical procedures, without compromising patient safety (4, 5). This controlled repetition facilitates error-based learning and skill refinement, which are often constrained in traditional clinical settings.

Evidence also consistently demonstrates that immersive technologies, particularly VR, accelerate the acquisition of surgical and procedural skills by improving performance outcomes and reducing the time required to achieve procedural proficiency (2, 6). Enhanced engagement, confidence, and retention of emergency skills have been reported across surgical and orthopedics training contexts, as well as in emerging applications such as robotic surgery and extended reality–based simulations (5, 7, 11).

In parallel, AR and MR platforms contribute to deeper anatomical understanding by improving spatial cognition and learner satisfaction, while interactive multimedia elements, such as adaptive quizzes and decision-based simulations, support cognitive load management and reinforce foundational knowledge (9, 12–14). These tools increasingly bridge the gap between anatomical theory and clinical application in domains such as radiology and surgical planning (10).

Across disciplines, immersive learning environments are also associated with improved affective outcomes, including learner motivation, confidence, and psychological preparedness. Systematic reviews report consistent gains in learner satisfaction and self-efficacy following the integration of VR modules, particularly in nursing and emergency training contexts (3, 4, 7, 11). However, for an expert audience, these benefits are now well established; the critical opportunity lies in how such tools are strategically aligned with competency-based educational goals rather than their standalone deployment.

Challenges

Despite the compelling evidence of effectiveness, the widespread and sustainable integration of immersive technologies into clinical education curricula is hindered by numerous significant structural, economic, and pedagogical barriers (2).

Financial and Infrastructure Constraints: The high cost of specialized equipment and the associated infrastructural requirements represent one of the most significant obstacles to adopting immersive technologies (2). High-quality VR headsets, AR-enabled devices, and haptic systems are inherently expensive, posing a severe financial barrier, particularly for institutions in low- and middle-income countries. Beyond the initial capital investment, institutions must also contend with substantial hidden and recurring costs, including proprietary software licensing fees, subscription models, and the ongoing expenses associated with mandatory software updates and platform maintenance. This burden is further exacerbated by continuous costs for hardware maintenance, software upgrades, and ensuring compatibility with rapidly evolving systems. Furthermore, immersive learning environments require substantial digital infrastructure, including high-performance computing systems and large-scale data storage capabilities, which are not equally available across contexts (9). Expanding these technologies to support large groups of students presents both logistical challenges and significant financial burdens. Importantly, implementing advanced immersive systems without adequate budgeting for these often-overlooked costs, and without ensuring baseline digital preparedness, may exacerbate global educational inequalities rather than reduce them (15).

Faculty Readiness and Alignment: A critical determinant of success for immersive technologies is not merely their availability, but the effective manner in which they are integrated by educators. A persistent challenge is the pervasive lack of faculty readiness and training, often compounded by insufficient levels of digital literacy among teaching staff (16). Many educators who have long relied upon traditional teaching methods, particularly more experienced faculty members trained in pre-digital pedagogical paradigms, may exhibit generational resistance to adopting immersive technologies, perceiving VR or AR tools as overly complex, time-consuming, or misaligned with core clinical instructional goals.

Studies indicate that when instructors do not possess sufficient confidence, digital skills, or proper training in using immersive technologies, the overall quality and effectiveness of students' learning experiences decline considerably (17). Effective integration demands a systemic overhaul of curricula and assessment methods. Simply adding VR modules as supplementary extras is insufficient; immersive technologies must be structurally embedded and aligned with clearly defined learning objectives. Without institutional strategies that explicitly address digital literacy development, generational gaps, and change management, there is a substantial risk that the initial enthusiasm surrounding these technologies will wane, leading to underutilization or eventual abandonment (17, 18).

User Experience and Accessibility Constraints: Although immersive technologies are intended to enhance learner engagement, they also present distinct usability and accessibility concerns (19). One significant physical limitation is cybersickness, commonly referred to as motion sickness, which some learners experience when using VR headsets (20). This physiological reaction can limit the duration or intensity of necessary training sessions. Moreover, prolonged use of headsets may cause physical discomfort, including neck fatigue, headaches, or eye strain (18, 20). Regarding equity, immersive systems must be designed with inclusivity in mind, otherwise students with disabilities may face additional barriers (21). Furthermore, digital literacy among learner populations is rarely uniform. Students who are less familiar or comfortable with advanced technologies may initially struggle, a scenario that risks inadvertently widening the gap between tech-savvy learners and those with limited prior digital exposure (22).

Evidence Gaps and Patient Outcomes: A primary concern impacting the long-term viability and financial justification of immersive technology investment is the critical lack of robust, longitudinal evidence directly linking immersive training to improved patient outcomes, including clinical competence, patient safety, and ultimate healthcare quality. Although numerous short-term studies confirm benefits such as enhanced skill acquisition and learner confidence, far fewer investigations track outcomes over extended periods or evaluate whether these educational gains translate into measurable improvements in real-world patient care.

Consequently, a major evidence gap persists; it remains unclear whether the skills mastered in the highly controlled, simulated environments of VR or AR consistently transfer to complex clinical settings and lead to tangible reductions in medical errors, adverse events, or patient morbidity and mortality. From a patient-centered and policy perspective, improved learner performance alone is insufficient justification; educational innovations must ultimately demonstrate added value at the level of patient outcomes.

Policy leaders and educational administrators are therefore reluctant to commit substantial resources to immersive technologies until high-quality longitudinal and outcome-focused evidence demonstrates that immersive training meaningfully enhances patient safety, clinical decision-making, or healthcare delivery efficiency (11). Additionally, the rapid pace of technological change raises concerns about sustainability, as devices and software evolve quickly, risking rapid obsolescence before definitive patient-level evidence can be established.

Ethical, Cultural, and Humanistic Issues: In addition to structural and evidentiary limitations, significant ethical and educational concerns must be addressed. Within certain cultural settings, the use of immersive simulations, especially those involving emotionally sensitive topics such as intimate examinations, patient mortality, end-of-life situations, or trauma, can give rise to serious ethical challenges (2). Educational institutions are therefore responsible for ensuring that such materials are both culturally sensitive and ethically appropriate (2). From a pedagogical perspective, there is also a notable risk of excessive dependence on technological tools (23). Although immersive technologies offer engaging and meaningful learning experiences, they should serve to enhance rather than replace essential traditional approaches, including bedside teaching and hands-on clinical practice. Maintaining a balance between technological advancement and human interaction is crucial in medical education, where the cultivation of professionalism, communication abilities, and empathy is as important as the development of technical skills (2, 21).

Strategies

To move beyond ad-hoc pilot projects toward the effective and sustainable integration of immersive technologies, academic institutions must establish a comprehensive strategic roadmap that addresses both technological capacity and pedagogical governance.

Pedagogical Governance and Alignment: To ensure educational efficacy, all initiatives dedicated to integrating immersive technologies in clinical education must be fundamentally driven and led by pedagogists. Technological implementation should be aligned explicitly with established pedagogical theories and frameworks, ensuring that the technology serves defined educational objectives rather than merely acting as a showcase for novel features (24, 25).

Implementing educational theory, such as the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), can provide a robust framework to explain and predict user behavior in VR simulations, thereby guiding effective curriculum design. In clinical education, UTAUT can be operationalized by systematically addressing key determinants of technology adoption, including perceived usefulness (e.g., alignment of VR scenarios with clinical competencies), ease of use (e.g., intuitive interfaces and scaffolded onboarding for learners and faculty), and facilitating conditions (e.g., institutional technical support and protected training time). By explicitly mapping these constructs to learning objectives, instructional strategies, and assessment methods, educators can enhance both faculty engagement and learner uptake of immersive tools.

Immersive technologies must therefore be systematically embedded within structured curricula and supported by rigorous, outcomes-based evaluation frameworks, moving beyond the superficial practice of adding optional modules. Such governance-driven alignment ensures that immersive interventions contribute meaningfully to competency development, curriculum coherence, and sustainable educational impact rather than isolated technological experimentation.

Cultivating Digital Literacy and Readiness: A crucial strategic step involves cultivating the necessary skills and professional attitudes in both students and academic staff to ensure the smart and effective use of these technologies. Faculty development programmes are essential, requiring significant resources, institutional commitment, and time to enhance educators' competence and confidence in utilizing immersive tools (26). Addressing faculty hesitancy is critical, as their confidence significantly influences the quality of the educational experience (16). For students, adequate support mechanisms and orientation must be put in place to address the non-uniform digital literacy observed across cohorts (22). This proactive support is vital to ensure that the adoption of immersive tools does not inadvertently widen the learning gap between digitally fluent students and those with limited prior technological exposure.

AI-Driven Adaptive Learning: Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly recognized as a powerful technological complement to immersive environments (22). AI enhances the learning experience by introducing personalization, adaptability, and intelligent feedback. AI-driven systems, such as VR anatomy assistants, can tailor content precisely to a learner's existing knowledge level, providing adaptive feedback and thereby enabling highly personalised, self-directed learning that reduces direct reliance on faculty oversight (13). AI also enhances the precision of assessment by analysing fine-grained learner behaviours, including precision metrics, decision-making patterns, and response times, offering individualised feedback far beyond what traditional metrics can capture. Furthermore, AI contributes to the realism of simulations; natural language processing allows virtual patients to engage in authentic dialogues, while AI-driven physiological models create dynamic, responsive clinical scenarios. The strategic integration of AI addresses the challenge of scaling individualized immersive sessions, offering a viable pathway towards global scalability (21).

Cost-Effective and Equitable Scaling: Institutions must implement blended learning models that strategically integrate high-cost immersive elements (VR/AR) with cost-effective components (interactive multimedia) and conventional bedside training. This approach maximises resource utility while ensuring technology supports, rather than supplants, essential human interaction (9). Developing cost-effective and scalable solutions is particularly critical for low- and middle-income countries to minimise widening disparities in access to high-quality digital medical education. Equity also extends to ethical design: content developers should collaborate with diverse stakeholders, including educators, ethicists, and patient representatives, to ensure that simulations respect cultural norms, uphold patient dignity, and actively foster empathy, particularly when addressing sensitive clinical topics (2, 21).

Conclusion

Immersive technologies, encompassing VR, AR, and interactive multimedia, have ushered in an undeniable paradigm shift in clinical education, offering potent benefits in enhancing technical skills, boosting engagement, and increasing learner confidence. However, the institutional pursuit of these digital innovations must be tempered by a cautious, evidence-based approach.

Rather than adopting an uncritical or technology-driven enthusiasm, educational leaders must undertake a balanced and systematic appraisal of both the benefits and limitations of immersive technologies, and proactively develop strategies to address their inherent challenges and constraints. While the short-term advantages are clear, the profound value of traditional educational methods, such as bedside apprenticeship, direct patient encounters, and mentorship, must never be underestimated. The vital professional competencies of empathy, communication, and humanistic professionalism, which are core attributes of effective healthcare practice, are fundamentally developed through real-world clinical interactions.

Sustainable integration hinges upon the commitment to robust pedagogical governance, continuous faculty development, and the strategic leveraging of adaptive systems like AI. Immersive technologies must serve to enhance, contextualize, and safely augment traditional clinical exposure, ensuring that the future of medical education remains fundamentally competency-driven, ethically responsible, and deeply patient-centered.

Abbreviations

AI: Artificial Intelligence
AR: Augmented Reality
CBME: Competency-Based Medical Education
MR: Mixed Reality
VR: Virtual Reality

Acknowledgments

The authors used AI to assist with language polishing of the manuscript. The AI tool was not involved in the conceptualization, interpretation of findings, or drawing of conclusions. All outputs generated by the AI were carefully reviewed, verified, and revised by the authors to ensure accuracy and academic integrity.

Authors' Contribution

ZK conceptualized the perspective article, conducted the literature review, and developed the main arguments. MRz contributed to critical revisions and editing of the manuscript. Both authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding/Support

This research received no external funding or support.

Availability of Data and Materials

No new data were generated or analyzed in this study.

References

1 Walter AJ. Surgical education for the twenty-first century: beyond the apprentice model. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am. 2006;33(2):233-6, vii. doi: 10.1016/j.ogc.2006.01.003. PubMed PMID: 16647599.
2 Karimian Z. Impact of Innovative Educational Technologies on the SPICES Model in Medical Education. Interdiscip J Virtual Learn Med Sci. 2023;14(1):75-79. doi: 10.30476/ijvlms.2023.98690.1223.
3 Sung H, Kim M, Park J, Shin N, Han Y. Effectiveness of virtual reality in healthcare education: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sustainability. 2024;16(19):8520. doi: 10.3390/su16198520.
4 Liu K, Zhang W, Li W, Wang T, Zheng Y. Effectiveness of virtual reality in nursing education: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Med Educ. 2023;23(1):710. doi: 10.1186/s12909-023-04662-x. PubMed PMID: 37770884; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC10540340.
5 Co M, Chiu S, Billy Cheung HH. Extended reality in surgical education: A systematic review. Surgery. 2023;174(5):1175-83. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2023.07.015. PubMed PMID: 37640664.
6 Kawashima K, Nader F, Collins JW, Esmaeili A. Virtual reality simulations in robotic surgery training: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Robotic Surg. 2025;19(29). doi: 10.1007/s11701-024-02187-z
7 Li T, Yan J, Gao X, Liu H, Li J, Shang Y, Tang X. Using Virtual Reality to Enhance Surgical Skills and Engagement in Orthopedic Education: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2025;27:e70266. doi: 10.2196/70266. PubMed PMID: 40446337; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC12143859.
8 Huang WD, Loid V, Sung JS. Reflecting on gamified learning in medical education: a systematic literature review grounded in the Structure of Observed Learning Outcomes (SOLO) taxonomy 2012-2022. BMC Med Educ. 2024;24(1):20. doi: 10.1186/s12909-023-04955-1. Erratum in: BMC Med Educ. 2024;24(1):104. doi: 10.1186/s12909-024-05067-0. PubMed PMID: 38172852; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC10765768.
9 Li X, Elnagar D, Song G, Ghannam R. Advancing Medical Education Using Virtual and Augmented Reality in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic and Critical Review. Virtual Worlds. 2024;3(3):384-403. doi: 10.3390/virtualworlds3030021.
10 Lie SS, Helle N, Sletteland NV, Vikman MD, Bonsaksen T. Implementation of Virtual Reality in Health Professions Education: Scoping Review. JMIR Med Educ. 2023;9:e41589. doi: 10.2196/41589. PubMed PMID: 36692934; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC9906320.
11 Abbas JR, Chu MMH, Jeyarajah C, Isba R, Payton A, McGrath B, Tolley N, Bruce I. Virtual reality in simulation-based emergency skills training: A systematic review with a narrative synthesis. Resusc Plus. 2023;16:100484. doi: 10.1016/j.resplu.2023.100484. PubMed PMID: 37920857; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC10618508.
12 Salimi S, Asgari Z, Mohammadnejad A, Teimazi A, Bakhtiari M. Efficacy of virtual reality and augmented reality in anatomy education: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Anat Sci Educ. 2024;17(9):1668-1685. doi: 10.1002/ase.2501. PubMed PMID: 39300601.
13 Chheang V, Sharmin Sh, Marquez-Hern R, Megha Patel, Rajasekaran D, Caulfield G, Kiafar B, Li J, Kullu P, Barmaki RL. Towards Anatomy Education with Generative AI-based Virtual Assistants in Immersive Virtual Reality Environments. arXiv [Preprint]. 2023 [cited 2025 Nov 9]. Available from: https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.17278.
14 Korre D, Sherlock A. Augmented Reality

in Higher Education: A Case Study in Medical Education. Proceedings of the 9th annual International Conference of the Immersive Learning Research Network (iLRN2023); 2023 May 18-20 (online) & 2023 June 26-29 (in person); Online & San Luis Obispo, California, USA. Immersive Learning Research Network; 2023. doi: 10.56198/ITIG293LT.

15 Taher Allawi Ghrabat A, Ghashghaeizadeh N, Shanta Jabir J, Mosavi F. Teachers' Virtual Education Experiences in Iraq: Insights from a Mixed-Methods Study on Challenges and Consequences. J Adv Med Educ Prof. 2025;13(3):235-248. doi: 10.30476/jamp.2025.105009.2098. PubMed PMID: 40642147; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC12238867.

16 Bandali B, Abolghasemi M, Pardakhtchi M, Rezaeizadeh M. Studying the challenges of faculty development programs at shahid beheshti university. Quarterly Journal of Training and Development of Human Resources. 2025;5(18):25-53.

17 Khojasteh L, Karimian Z, Nasiri E and Kafipour R. Adapting amidst adversity: investigating medical educators' attitudes towards change and resilience in the face of educational barriers during COVID-19 pandemic. Front Educ. 2025;9:1476443. doi: 10.3389/feduc.2024.1476443.

18 Harknett J, Whitworth M, Rust D, Krokos M, Kearl M, Tibaldi A, Bonali FL, De Vries BV, Antoniou V, Nomikou P, Reitano D. The use of immersive virtual reality for teaching fieldwork skills in complex structural terrains. J Struct Geol. 2022;163(2023):104681. doi:10.1016/j.jsg.2022.104681.

19 Davari F, Vahidi-Asl M, Alikhani P, RezaeiZadeh M. Measuring the impact of virtual reality on a serious game for improving oral presentation skill. Technology of Education Journal (TEJ). 2020;14(4):891-900. [In Persian]

20 Baxter G, Hainey T. Using immersive technologies to enhance the student learning experience. Interact Technol Smart Educ. 2024;21(3):403-25. doi:10.1108/ITSE-05-2023-0078.

21 Karimian Z, Salehi N, Moro C. Intersecting Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge and Artificial Intelligence in Medical and Health Sciences Education: A Perspective. Interdiscip J Virtual Learn Med Sci. 2025;16(1):1-7. doi: 10.30476/ijvlms.2025.106124.1331.

22 Mir MM, Mir GM, Raina NT, Mir SM, Mir SM, Miskeen E, Alharthi MH, Alamri MMS. Application of Artificial Intelligence in Medical Education: Current Scenario and Future Perspectives. J Adv Med Educ Prof. 2023;11(3):133-140. doi: 10.30476/JAMP.2023.98655.1803. PubMed PMID: 37469385; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC10352669.

23 Rezaei-Zadeh M. Designing Learning Management System Plugins for Improving Students' Transversal Competencies. [Doctoral dissertation]. Zurich, Switzerland: University of Zurich; 2014.

24 Habibi Baghi M, Abolghasemi M, Zakerimoghadam M, Rezaiezadeh M, Vahidi Asl M. Unveiling CPR training challenges in nursing education: Pedagogical strategies for success. Nurse Educ Pract. 2024;78:104040. doi: 10.1016/j.nepr.2024.104040. PubMed PMID: 38943760.

25 Rezaei-Zadeh M, Mohagheghiaan R, Vahidi-Asl M. Critical meta-analysis of problem-solving serious games: Clear signs of pedagogists' disengagement and over-optimistic expectations. Int J Serious Games. 2023;10(2):85-113. doi: 10.17083/ijsg.v10i2.563.

26 Bandali B, Abolghasemi M, Pardakhtchi M, Rezaei-zadeh M. Faculty Development Programs at Shahid Beheshti University: Insufficient Strengths. Educ Strateg Med Sci. 2021;13(6):597-607.